INTRODUCTION

Land snails play an important role in terrestrial communities, exhibiting substantial variations in shape, size and colour among species (Panha and Burch 2005; Raheem and Naggs 2006; Ramakrishna et al. 2010; Raheem et al. 2014). Among diverse land snails, micro-land snails are miniscule in size and occur in high abundances in certain habitats (Panha and Burch 2005). The habitats exploited by small land snails include detritus laden soil, as well as stems, bark, leaves and canopy of trees. The wide range of resources used by small land snails in these habitats allows classifying and characterizing them as herbivorous, detritivorous and predatory species (Raut and Ghose 1984a; Raheem and Naggs 2006; Sarma et al. 2007; Sen et al. 2012; Nandy et al. 2019). In many instances, small land snails cause the destruction of economically important crops and vegetables, and are therefore considered as pests (Raut and Ghose 1984a; Das et al. 1989; Barker 2002; Wilson 2007; Avhad et al. 2013). On a worldwide scale, studies on biology, ecology and diversity of small land snails highlight their ecological roles and the ecosystem services they provide (Barker 2001, 2002; Raheem and Naggs 2006; Ramakrishna et al. 2010; Raheem et al. 2014; Budha et al. 2015).

Bearing in mind the diversity of land snails (Ramakrishna et al. 2010; Raheem et al. 2014; Sajan et al. 2021) in the Indian context, the number of studies performed on biology and ecology of individual species is still limited. The information on such species as Achatina fulica (Raut 1979, 1999; Raut and Barker 2002), Cryptaustenia ovata (Saha and Roy 1994; Avhad et al. 2013), Macrochlamys indica (Raut 1979), Allopeas gracile (Raut 1984; Sarma et al. 2007), Indosuccinea semiserica (Raut and Ghose 1984b; Raut 1986) and Succinea daucina (Raut et al. 1997) reflects diverse ecological roles of terrestrial snails in India. During the survey of land snails associated with the vegetation of northern part of West Bengal, India, a chance encounter with the succineid snail Succinea baconi (Pfeiffer, 1854) (Gastropoda: Succineidae) prompted us to carry out the assessment of the distribution and shell morphology of this species.

Observations of the distribution and pestiferous nature of S. costaricana on the ornamental plants Dracaena marginata and D. deremensis in Costa Rica (Villalobos et al. 1995) and the distribution of S. thaunumi in Hawaii, USA (Rundell and Cowie 2003) indicate that succineid snails show preference for arboreal habitats. In India, other species, e.g., S. daucina, are observed mostly in moist soil (Raut et al. 1997). A variety of other
small snail species including the citrus tree snail *Drymaurus dormani* (Bledose and Minnick 1982), *Euhadra amalitae* (Watada and Wada 1996, 1998), *Sitala jenynsi* (Kasigwa 1999a,b), *Boninosuccinea ogasawarae* and *B. punctulispira* (Sugiura 2011), *Satsuma* (*Luchuhadra eucosmia eucosmia*) (Takeuchi and Takeda 2016) use trees as habitat. In India, as observed in the southern region of West Bengal (Raut and Ghose 1984b), succineid snails such as *I. semiserica* exhibit preference for lemon plants (*Citrus limon*).

The heterogeneity in snail sizes can be represented through the shell length and body weight, which vary with the ontogeny and age (Baur 1984; Goodfriend 1986; Hawkins et al. 1997; Preston and Roberts 2007). Using the shell length and body weight regression equations, the size and shape variability of aquatic snails (Palmer 1982; Tokeshi et al. 2000; Mckinney et al. 2004; Elkarmi and Ismail 2007; Saha et al. 2016) as well as that of terrestrial snails (Hawkins et al. 1997; Preston and Roberts 2007; Okon et al. 2012) is described as a universal rule. On the other hand, the morphology and life history traits, e.g., body size and fecundity, are considered to be crucial parameters for understanding the colonization and spread of snails (Cameron and Cook 1989; Carvalho et al. 2008).

The purpose of the present study was to record the distribution and the relative abundance of *S. baconi* as well as to give the appraisal of its morphological features based on field-collected specimens. The abundance and distribution of *S. baconi* will provide information on its habitat preferences and functional relevance in the terrestrial ecosystem. The correspondence between different morphological variables will reflect the size and shape characteristics as well as population build-up of the snail *S. baconi* in the concerned habitats. The information will be useful in predicting the possibilities of colonization and establishment of a stable population of *S. baconi* in similar habitats in India.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study sites**

The study was carried out in Coochbehar, West Bengal, India (Figure 1). Habitats such as semi managed gardens, bushes, grass lands, and decaying surfaces were surveyed biweekly during the monsoon and post monsoon seasons (July to November) of 2015 and 2016. The survey timing was chosen based on the previous research observations where land snail encounter rates were found to be higher during the wet weather (Raut and Ghose 1984a, b; Raut et al. 1997). In a particular habitat, a 10 ×10 m² area covered with highly heterogeneous vegetation, including grasses and lemon trees (*C. limon*), was surveyed. The diameter of the 2 m² area around a lemon tree was additionally surveyed for the presence of the snails using appropriate methods (Sturm et al. 2006).

![Figure 1. Map of the study area in India highlighting the district of Coochbehar, West Bengal, India.](image-url)
**Assessment of abundance and size of S. baconi**

The sampling and survey work was carried out following stratified random sampling methods (Ludwig and Reynolds 1988), i.e., a study plant and a study area were chosen at random, with sampling from the same place being repeated for at least three times within the sampling period, so that the samples could qualify as replicates (Hurlbert 1984). The identification of snails was performed in accordance with appropriate keys (Rao 1924; Ramakrishna et al. 2010). The following characteristics were considered (Ramakrishna et al. 2010): very thin and semiovately acuminate shell, whorls 2½, a very short spire compared to the body-whorl, the last whorl globex, aperture acuminately oval, peristome thin, which is absent in other succineids of India (Gude 1914; Raheem et al. 2014).

The live snails collected from the leaf litter and lemon were kept in separate plastic containers (100 mL, Tarsons®, India) according to the collection site and time of collection. The container was supplied with the tissue paper dipped in water to maintain the relative humidity > 80%. In the laboratory, the collected snails were emptied in a plastic container (32 × 36 × 38 cm) containing soil layer for rearing and maintenance. The snails collected during each sampling effort were categorized into different size classes according to their shell length (in mm). The number of specimens falling into each size class was recorded. With a class interval of 1 mm, 8 size classes of snails were formed (according to the shell length, which varied from 1 mm to 9 mm).

Each lemon tree within a sampling quadrat was surveyed from the base to the top. The live snails detected at a particular height of the lemon tree were collected and placed in to separate specimen containers (Kasigwa 1999a, b). The average height of the examined lemon trees was 120 cm. The vertical sections of the trees were classified as L – the lower most section of the stem – from the ground surface to 30 cm in height, ML – the middle lower section – from 31 to 60 cm in height, MU – the middle upper section – from 61 to 90 cm in height and the Top – from 91 to120 cm in height, i.e., to the highest leaf of the main shoot of the plant. Data on the distribution of live snails from different heights of the examined lemon trees were recorded and used for analysis.

The data on the collected S. baconi specimens based on the vertical distribution, association with the detritus and leaves and size class wise variations were subjected to statistical analysis based on a binomial generalized linear model with logit link (McCullagh and Nelder 1989; Fox 2008). A typical expression of the logistic regression (binomial GLM) in the form of: \[ y = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(a + bx))}, \] was used to deduce the relationship between the snail abundance (response variable) against the explanatory variable (x; representing the vertical height or the resource or the size class as applicable, respectively). A binary sum form of the response variable was used, and the parameters of the model were estimated through the maximum likelihood method using XLSTAT software, release 10 (Addinsoft 2010). The parameters of the model (a and b) were tested for significance employing a chi-square method (McCullagh and Nelder 1989; Fox 2008). Application of the logistic regression was based on the assumption that the relative abundance of S. baconi on the lemon tree and in associated habitats follow a binomial (n, p) distribution with n observations for each of the explanatory variables (whether size class, vertical distribution or resource). The probability parameter shown as p, is assumed to be a linear matching of the explanatory variables. Significant contribution of the explanatory variables to the distribution of S. baconi was inferred from the logistic regressions.

**Morphometric analysis**

In this study, assessment of S. baconi morphology was based on the shell shape and body weight relationship. For that purpose, we used field-collected live snails and shells of the deceased ones, which were collected from the same habitats. In order to estimate the shell shape, the measurements of the shell length (SL), shell breadth (SB), spire ratio (SR), shell aperture length (AL), shell aperture breadth (AB) and the body weight (BW) of live snails were analyzed. The shell length (from the apex to the tip of the last whorl in mm) and shell breadth (width of the last whorl) were measured using a vernier caliper (Insize, Brazil) and were recorded to the nearest 0.1 mm. The body weight (dry weight) of a live snail specimen was recorded using a pan balance (Citizen, India) to the nearest 0.1 mg. Shell weights of the dead snails were recorded in a similar way.

A regression analysis (Zar 1999) was performed to establish the relationship among the variables representing the body size of snails. Thus, the shell length (SL in mm), shell breadth (SB in mm), and the body weight (BW in mm) of live S. baconi (258 specimens) were assessed for that purpose. The extrapolation of the regression (power) equation between the length and weight of shells of dead (snails 100 specimens), was used to deduce the soft tissue weight \( W_{ST} \) (in mg) of live snails. The shell weight of live snails \( W_{SH} \) was deduced by subtracting the soft tissue weight from that of the body. Although used for shells with a conical shape, the apical angle, AA (Preston and Roberts 2007), was evaluated as a feature for describing the shape of the apex of the snail S. baconi using the following formula: \[ AA^o = 2^o \tan(0.5 \times SB / SL). \] In all instances, a live snail, which was considered for the estimation of the morphometry, was not subsequently considered as dead so as to avoid the possibility of pseudoreplication (Hurlbert 1984). To comply with the norms of replication, live snails and shells of the dead ones were collected at different times and from different habitats.
**RESULTS**

There was a large number of live snails (~258) recorded on lemon plants (n = 18) over the study periods July–September 2015 and July–September 2016. The examination of the vertical distribution of live *S. baconi* individuals on lemon plants showed that in the lower most (L) (mean 8.29 ± 2.67 SE) and the middle lower (ML) (mean 8.43 ± 3.71 SE) sections of the plants, snails were the most numerous, their numbers in the middle upper (MU) (mean 5.86 ± 2.98 SE) and top (T) (mean 3.57 ± 2.08 SE) parts, being lower. Overall significant differences in numbers of snails recorded in the lower most (L), middle lower (ML), middle upper (MU) and the top parts of plant are represented through logistic regression (Figure 2a). As is evident from the logistic regression: abundance (y) = 1 / (1+exp(-(-2.00 + 0.35*vertical height))), where the parameters of the equation remained statistically significant (intercept = 2.00 ± 0.23; Wald χ² = 74.9; p < 0.001; vertical height = 0.35 ± 0.08; Wald’s χ² = 19.332; p < 0.001), the vertical distribution of snails at different heights of plants remained uneven with the majority of snails recorded at a height between 20 and 60 cm from the ground surface.

In this study, the abundance of snails on plants was found to vary not only with tree height, but also with the resources available, i.e. green plant parts (leaves) (mean 4.0 ± 0.65 SE) and detritus (dead leaves, twigs and branches) (mean 6.75 ± 1.46). For snails, detritus appeared to be preferable to leaves (Figure 2b). The logistic regression, abundance (y) = 1 / (1+exp(-(-2.97– 0.53*resource))) explained the difference in the abundance of *S. baconi* by differences in the resources available with the parameters of the equation remaining statistically significant (intercept = –2.97 ± 0.20; Wald’s χ² = 218.45; p < 0.001; resource = –0.53 ± 0.15;

![Figure 2](image_url)  
**Figure 2.** (a) The distribution of the snail *S. baconi* on lemon plants in the study area. The average height of the plants was 120 cm with the vertical strata being classified as L – the lower most portion of the stem – from ground to the height of 30 cm, ML – the middle lower portion– from 31 to 60 cm in height, MU – the middle upper portion– from 61 to 90 cm and the top portion – from 91 to 120 cm in height, i.e., to the highest leaf of the main shoot of the plant. Occurrence of snails at respective height intervals significantly varied and could be represented through logistic regression. (b) The preferred habitat resources, detritus includes dead leaves, twigs and branches of lemon tree, while green twigs and leaves are considered as leafage. Here the triangle represents the mean value and the horizontal bar the median value. (c) The size class-based distribution of the snails collected from the habitats. The first three bars represent juvenile size classes, and the shaded bars the reproductive size ones.
Spatial distribution and morphometry of the succineid snail *Succinea baconi* (Pfeiffer, 1854) in India

Wald $\chi^2 = 13.312; p < 0.001$). Thus, differences in snail abundance were mostly associated with detritus and hardly with leaves. In addition, differences in the relative abundance of collected snails were also observed in their respective size classes.

Differences in the proportional abundance of different snail size classes (Figure 2c) could be related as: abundance ($y = 1 / (1 + \exp(-(-3.04 + 0.22*\text{size class})))$) with the parameters of the equation remaining significant (intercept = 3.04 ± 0.12; Wald’s $\chi^2 = 637.61; p < 0.001$; size class = 0.22 ± 0.02; Wald $\chi^2 = 637.61; p < 0.001$). The morphological features presented in Table 1 show the heterogeneity of the *S. baconi* population. Live snails were found to vary in their shell length and body weight more than shells of the dead individuals. The calculated apical angle of the shells remained 0.67 ± 0.01 (Mean ± SE). The correlations among these variables (Table 2) and the regression of the shell length and the body weight of live *S. baconi* (sample size of 258 live specimens) remained significant (Figure 3). The relationship between the body weight and shell length was: Body weight ($y = 0.164* \text{Shell length} (x)^2.769; R^2 = 0.924$). Using an extrapolation of the regression (power) equation between the shell length and shell weight of dead snails (100 specimens, Shell weight ($y = 0.04^* \text{Shell length} (x)^2.537; R^2 = 0.897$, Figure 3b), and by comparing it with the regression of the shell length and body weight of live snails, the weight of the soft tissue

Table 1. Values of morphological features and body weight of the snail *S. baconi*, live snails (n = 258) as well as shells of dead specimens (n = 100). The weight of the shell and that of the soft tissue are the derived variables deduced from the extrapolation of the shell length and shell weight equation shown in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Live snails (n = 258)</th>
<th>Body weight (BW in mg)</th>
<th>Shell length (SL in mm)</th>
<th>Shell breadth (SB in mm)</th>
<th>Aperture length (AL in mm)</th>
<th>Aperture breadth (AB in mm)</th>
<th>Spire ratio (SR)</th>
<th>Apical angle (AA°)</th>
<th>Weight of shell ($W_{\text{SH}}$ in mg) (derived)</th>
<th>Weight of soft tissue ($W_{\text{ST}}$ in mg) (derived)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.9–91.5</td>
<td>2.3–9.2</td>
<td>2.36 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.3–4.3</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.48–0.93</td>
<td>0.3–9.97</td>
<td>1.37–82.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.97 ± 1.12</td>
<td>5.23 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.76 ± 0.12</td>
<td>17.23 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shells of dead snails (n = 100)</th>
<th>Shell weight (SW in mg)</th>
<th>Shell length (SL in mm)</th>
<th>Shell breadth (SB in mm)</th>
<th>Aperture length (AL in mm)</th>
<th>Aperture breadth (AB in mm)</th>
<th>Spire Ratio (SR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.31–12.38</td>
<td>2.2–9.2</td>
<td>1.1–4.9</td>
<td>1.8–7.1</td>
<td>1.2–4.9</td>
<td>1.63–3.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.97 ± 0.28</td>
<td>5.14 ± 0.17</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4.03 ± 0.14</td>
<td>2.69 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.26 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Values of the Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient, r, for the paired variables [BW – body weight, SL – shell length, SB – shell breadth, AL – aperture length, AB – aperture breadth, $W_{\text{SH}}$ – weight of shell (derived), $W_{\text{ST}}$ – weight of soft tissue, SR – spire ratio, SW – shell weight and AA° – apical angle]. The weight of the shell and the weight of the soft tissue are the derived variables deduced from the extrapolation of the shell length and shell weight equation shown in Figure 3. Values in bold are significant at $p < 0.001$ level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Living snails</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>SL</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>AL</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>$W_{\text{SH}}$</th>
<th>$W_{\text{ST}}$</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{\text{SH}}$</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{\text{ST}}$</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td>0.865</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA°</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>-0.607</td>
<td>-0.259</td>
<td>-0.522</td>
<td>-0.485</td>
<td>-0.558</td>
<td>-0.456</td>
<td>-0.985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shell of dead Snails</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>SL</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>AL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SL</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.878</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.925</td>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(W<sub>ST</sub>) was obtained. Morphological variables including the shell length/shell breadth ratio were significantly positively correlated (Figure 3c), as indicated by values of the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient, (r). Regression equations for the relationship among different variables are shown in Figure 4. In all the paired variables in the regression equation, high values of coefficient of determination (r<sup>2</sup>) were observed, except for the relation of the apical angle with the shell length and the body weight respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

The vertical distribution of *S. baconi* snails on lemon trees varied considerably, which is, perhaps, due to the disparity in the availability of food resources or oviposition sites as observed in different arboreal snails (Craig 1972; Kasigwa 1999a, b; Sugiuara 2011; Takeuchi and Takeda 2016). Arboreal snails including Succineidae feed on a wide range of resources such as fungi, algae, lichens, decaying plant materials, green plants and fruits (Sugiura 2011; Takeuchi and Takeda 2016). The distribution and abundance of *S. baconi* varied in different parts of the lemon tree. Most specimens were observed grazing branches and stems, with the maximum number of their occurrences recorded at small branching points where detritus is found. Although sparse in density, a few snails were observed at the base of lemon trees near the soil, where the deposition of dead leaves, twigs and stems allowed aggregation, as observed in *S. costaricana* (Villalobos et al. 1995). Compared to the distribution of the amphibious *S. daucina* (Raut et al. 1997), the distribution of *S. baconi* was mostly restricted to trees, and thus, it was observed crawling along tree branches and leaves as reported for *B. ogasawarae* and *B. punctulispira* (Sugiura 2011), *E. amalai* (Watada and Wada 1996, 1998), *S. jenynsi* (Kasigwa 1999a, b).

Figure 3. Relationship between the shell length and body weight of the live (a) and dead (b) individuals of *S. baconi* collected from gardens in and around Coochbehar, West Bengal, India in June–September, 2016. Data on the 258 live snails and the 100 shells of dead snails collected from the field were considered for analysis. The apical angle of the shells as a function of (c) the log of shell length (SL) and (d) the log of body weight (BW) are shown with the respective coefficient of determination. SW – Weight of shell of dead snails.
Spatial distribution and morphometry of the succineid snail *Succinea baconi* (Pfeiffer, 1854) in India and *S. (L.) eucosmia eucosmia* (Takeuchi and Takeda 2016). Similar to *B. ogasawarae* and *B. punctulispira* (Sugiura 2011), *S. baconi* moves along tree branches in search of fungi, algae and lichens on lemon plants. In contrast to the wide range of plants utilized by *E. amaliae* (Watada and Wada 1996, 1998), *S. jenynsi* (Kasigwa 1999a, b), and *B. ogasawarae* and *B. punctulispira* (Sugiura 2011), *S. baconi* was mostly associated with lemon trees. On other plants such as *Hibiscus rosa-sinensis* (China rose), *Nyctanthes arbor-tristis* (jasmine), *Musa acuminata* (banana), *Tabernaemontana divaricata* (crape jasmine), and *Curcuma longa* (turmeric), which host such snails as *M. indica*, *A. fulica* and *C. ovata*, *S. baconi* was not observed. Perhaps, because of unfavourable habitat conditions or the presence of predators, the distribution of *S. baconi* was confined exclusively to lemon trees, which is more typical of the citrus tree snail *Drymaeus dormani* (Bledose and Minnick 1982). However, the distribution of *S. baconi* on other trees cannot be ruled out if the habitat quality provided by other plants is equally suitable.

It is a known fact that *S. costaricana* (Villalobos et al. 1995) is associated with detritus, and *E. amaliae*
is reported to hibernate within fallen leaves (Watada and Wada 1996). The fact that the number of *S. baconi* snails associated with detritus is relatively higher than that of those associated with green leaves is perhaps a reflection of habitat preferences as observed in *S. costaricana*. In the present observation, the preferred height of snail distribution on lemon plants seems to vary between 30 and 90 cm above the ground surface, which, perhaps, coincides with the detritus availability in the mid height of the individual plants. However, the size class-based preference regarding plant height and detritus availability would have made the explanation of the observed distribution of *S. baconi* clearer. Nonetheless, as a pioneer effort, this study records the distribution of snails on lemon plants in an Indian context, which is comparable to the observations on *S. putris* in Lithuania (Šatkauskienė 2005), on *S. costaricana* in Costa Rica (Villalobos et al. 1995), and on *S. thaumum* in the Hawai, USA (Rundell and Cowie 2003).

The heterogeneity of the population was reflected through the differential representation in different *S. baconi* size classes. The size classes were represented through the shell length as a surrogate of the snail body size (Cain 1977; Stone 1996; Chiba 2005). On a spatial scale, the heterogeneity of the size class in snails is reflected through the corresponding variations in the shell length and body weight (Cameron and Cook 1989; Madec et al. 2003; Madec and Bellido 2007). Increase in the shell length (SL), shell breadth (SB) and body weight (BW) is a characteristic feature in snail ontogeny. Changes in snail morphology can be deduced through the relation between the shell length and body weight at different ages (Baur 1984; Goodfriend 1986; Hawkins et al. 1997; Preston and Roberts 2007). The shell length and body weight relationship is almost a universally accepted measure for representing the body size in aquatic (Palmer 1982; Tokeshi et al. 2000; McKinney et al. 2004; Elkarmi and Ismail 2007; Saha et al. 2016) as well as in terrestrial snails (Hawkins et al. 1997; Preston and Roberts 2007; Okon et al. 2012).

In the present study, both live and dead specimens were considered for the morphometric analysis of the body size of *S. baconi*. The relationship between the shell weight and shell length of dead snails was used to deduce the shell weight and the soft tissue weight of live snails (Palmer 1982; Saha et al. 2016). Although bivariate regression equations were assessed (Figs 2 and 3), it is recommended to use multiple predictors for the assessment of morphological variables and biomass of land snails, regardless of whether dry or ash free dry biomass is being considered as a response variable (Hawkins et al. 1997). In the present study, the length-weight relation complies with the allometric equation results (power regression equation was the best fit) as predicted for aquatic snails (Saha et al. 2016; Eklöf et al. 2017). On a comparative scale, *S. putris* snails were 1.2 × 1 mm in SL and SB at birth and reached the size of 9 mm ± 2.6 mm SE in SL and 5 mm ± 1.22 mm SE in SB after 12 months, though in field conditions, they were 11.3 mm ± 3.03 mm SE in SL and 5.2 mm ± 1.01 mm SE in SB (Šatkauskienė 2005). At birth, *S. thaumum* snails were 0.9 mm in SL, reaching a maximum of 9.3 mm ± 1.1 mm SE at the age of 126 days, and the majority of the cohort exhibited the SL of 7.8 mm ± 1.4 mm SE (Rundell and Cowie 2003). As for *S. costaricana*, at birth they were 0.84 mm in SL and at the end of the tenth week they reached a SL of 10.69 mm (Villalobos et al. 1995). The length and breadth of *S. baconi* (Table 1) was similar to these sizes with the apical angle (AA°) being 0.67 different from the conical shape of *Callistoma zizyphium* snails (Preston and Roberts 2007). In *S. ovalis*, the soft tissue weight accounted for between 61.9 and 80.5% of the total weight (Hawkins et al. 1997), while in *S. baconi*, it accounted for between 68 and 91% of the total weight with the least weight of shells. The weight of the shells of arboreal snails is low (Watada and Wada 1996), which appeared to be true of *S. baconi*, in the case of which, the shell weight accounted for between 10 to 30% of the total weight. The variations in *S. baconi* morphological features determined in this study can, most likely, be linked with ontogeny (Urdy et al. 2010), though plasticity of morphological traits due to habitat conditions cannot be ruled out. Further assessment using the data on *S. baconi* growth should be carried out to validate the proposed relations between weight and length.

Apart from the fragmentary record of snails from West Bengal (Hanley and Theobald 1876; Gude 1914; Ramakrishna and Mitra 2002; Ramakrishna et al. 2010), Karnataka (Mavinkurve et al. 2004; Raheem et al. 2014), and Pillarkan sacred grove in the South Canara belt (Mumbrekar and Madhyastha 2006), research on the population ecology and morphology of the snail *S. baconi* has not been carried out to date. Population ecology and life history traits such as body size and fecundity are considered to be crucial parameters for understanding the colonization and spread of land snails (Raut and Ghose 1984a, b; Baur 1984; Hawkins et al. 1997; Anderson et al. 2007; Schamp et al. 2010). Considering the information available on land snails in the concerned geographical region including Kolkata (*A. fulica* Raut 1979, 1999; Raut and Barker 2002; *C. ovata* Saha and Roy 1994; Avhad et al. 2013; *M. indica* Raut 1979; *A. gracile* Raut 1984; Sarma et al. 2007; *I. semiserica* Raut and Ghose 1984b, Raut 1986; *S. daucina* Raut et al. 1997), further studies should be carried out to link the patterns of *S. baconi* population growth, fecundity and dispersal to other succineid species in the world (Villalobos et al. 1995; Šatkauskienė 2005; Rundell and Cowie 2003). Along with high vulnerability to the deg-
radation of habitat conditions, restricted distribution and miscellaneous anthropogenic disturbances cause huge decline in land snail populations (Aravind et al. 2008; Sen et al. 2012). To sustain and enhance the diversity of land snail species (Sen et al. 2012), it is necessary to undertake habitat- and species-based conservation efforts including the development of specific conservation plans for the less known species (Aravind et al. 2008). Therefore, to facilitate the conservation efforts required for the sustenance of snails in the concerned geographical region, studies into the habitat preferences including the plants exploited by *S. baconi* need to be continued.
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